



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/465,402	12/17/1999	SUBBARAO V. PONAKALA	2047.114	8828
75	90 06/03/2003			
The NutraSweet Company 200 World Trade Center Merchandise Mart, Suite 936			EXAMINER	
			WONG, LESLIE A	
Chicago, IL 60654			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-20

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/465,402

Applicant(s)

Ponakala et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit **1761**

_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	for Reply		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM	
	ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	nd will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 8, 20	003	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s) <u>19-24</u>		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🗀	〗All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:		
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.	
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No	
	application from the International Burea		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona		
15)∐ ^******	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.	
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 8, 2003 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nofre et al (5480668).

Nofre et al disclose N-substituted derivatives of aspartame and that N-[N-(3,3-dimethylbutyl)-L-α-aspartyl]-L-phenylalanine 1-methyl ester is an extremely potent sweetening agent, where the agent may be used by itself or in combination with other sweetening agents (see entire patent, especially column 6, lines 16-26). Nofre et al also disclose that the agent may be used in a variety of products including chewing gum (see column 1, lines 10-14).

The claims differ as to the amounts employed and the specific use in chewing gums.

Application/Control Number: 09/465402 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

In the absence of a showing to the contrary, the amounts employed are seen to be no more that optimization which is well-within the skill of the art, see In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

The use of intense sweeteners in chewing gum products is notoriously well-known and the selection of chewing gum product is well-within the skill of the art and merely a matter of choice.

Once the art has recognized the use of N-[N-(3,3-dimethylbutyl)-L-α-aspartyl]-L-phenylalanine 1-methyl ester as a sweetening agent, then the use and manipulation of amounts for use in chewing gums would be no more than obvious and well-within the skill of the ordinary worker. The observation of still another beneficial result in an old process cannot form the basis of patentability, see In re Jones 1941 CD 686.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the sweeteners of Nofre et al in chewing gum products because it is well-known in the art that N-[N-(3,3-dimethylbutyl)-L- α -aspartyl]-L-phenylalanine 1-methyl ester is a powerful sweetening agent for use in foods and beverages.

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed November 8, 2002 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 19-24 based upon Nofre et al as set forth in the last Office action for the following reasons.

1) The showing is not commensurate in scope with the claims. Applicant claims "an amount effective to sweeten" whereas the showing appears to be specific for 100 ppm neotame.

Application/Control Number: 09/465402 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

2) It is not seen where Applicant actually shows "wherein between 6 and 10 minutes of

chewing time, the average sweetness intensity loss rate is less than 0.3 intensity units per minute."

3) The prior art is directed to neotame as is claimed. Applicant does not refer to the prior

art. It is not seen where Applicant has established unexpected results for the claimed invention.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from

the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known

components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re

Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered. None of them are seen

as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-

9311 for after-final responses.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

LAW June 2, 2003